Air Quality & Greenhouse Gas Emissions Assessment

Devil's Punch Bowl Nature Center Project
Los Angeles County, California

Prepared For:

Lacey Withers, ASLA
Principal, Landscape Architect
WITHERS & SANDGREN Landscape Architecture + Planning
20948 Tulsa St.
Chatsworth, CA 91311

Prepared By:

ECORP Consulting, Inc.
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS
55 Hanover Lane
Chico, CA 95926

June 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Term	Description
AB	Assembly Bill
ATCM	Airborne toxics control measure
AVAQMD	Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
bWh	dry-hot desert climate
bWhh	dry-very hot desert climate
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CAP	Climate Action Plan
CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCAA	California Clean Air Act
CCAP	Community Climate Action Plan
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CH_4	Methane
CO	Carbon monoxide
County	Los Angeles County
CO_2	Carbon dioxide
CO_2e	Carbon dioxide equivalents
DPM	Diesel particulate matter
EO	Executive Order
GHG	Greenhouse gas emissions
HRA	Health Risk Assessment
ITE	Institute of Transportation Engineers
hp	Horsepower
HVAC	Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
$\mu g/m^3$	Micrograms per cubic meter

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Term	**Description**
lbs	Pounds
MDAB	Mojave Desert Air Basin
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
N_2O	Nitrous oxide
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NO_2	Nitrogen dioxide
NO_x	Nitrous oxides
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
OS	Open-Space
O_3	Ozone
ppm	parts per million
PM_{10}	Coarse particulate matter
$PM_{2.5}$	Fine particulate matter
ppb	parts per billion
Project	Devil's Punch Bowl Nature Center Project
ROG	Reactive organic gases
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCS	Sustainable Communities Strategy
SB	Senate Bill
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SO_2	Sulfur dioxide
TACs	Toxic air contaminants
USEPA	U.S. Environment Protection Agency
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report documents the results of an Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Assessment completed for the Devil's Punch Bowl Nature Center Project, which proposes the redevelopment of a Nature Center and associated features in the County of Los Angeles (County), California. This assessment was prepared using methodologies and assumptions recommended in the rules and regulations of the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District (AVAQMD) and County of Los Angeles. Regional and local existing conditions are presented, along with pertinent emissions standards and regulations. The purpose of this assessment is to estimate Project-generated criteria air pollutants and GHG emissions attributable to the Project and to determine the level of impact the Project would have on the environment. Significance levels set forth by AVAQMD and the County of Los Angeles are utilized to compare modeled Project emissions and determine significance.

1.1 Project Location and Description

The Project, located in unincorporated Los Angeles County, proposes the construction and operation of a Nature Center and associated features at the Devil's Punchbowl Natural Area. The Devil's Punchbowl is 1,310-acre County Park within the San Gabriel Mountains. Visitors can enjoy walking, hiking, and horseback riding through the canyon. The Project Site previously accommodated a Nature Center at the Devil's Punchbowl Natural Area, but it was burned down in the Bobcat Fire in September of 2020. The Nature Center has historically offered a place to learn about the native wildlife of the park and its history. The Project aims to reconstruct and renovate the Devil's Punch Bowl Nature Area, which includes a 3,245 square foot building that includes a Nature Center, administrative offices, and a gift shop (see Figure 1-1). The Proposed Project would also feature landscaped paths around the Nature Center, new trail heads, picnic areas, and shade structures.

The Project Site is located at the Devil's Punch Bowl Natural Area located at the terminus of Devil's Punchbowl Road, with the surrounding area consisting of rural open space and several single-family homes accessed from Big Sky Drive. The Project Site is located about two miles south of the community of Valermo.



Location: N:\2016\2016-130 MedMen Cultivation\MAPS\Borders\MedMen Site Plan.mxd (AA)-mapping_guest 9/13/2016

Map Date: *5/10/2023*
Photo (or Base) Source: *Withers and Sandgreen 2023*

ECORP Consulting, Inc.
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

Figure 1-1. Conceptual Site Plan

2022-124.001 Devil's Punch Bowl

2.0 AIR QUALITY

2.1 Environmental Setting

Air quality in a region is determined by its topography, meteorology, and existing air pollutant sources. These factors are discussed below, along with the current regulatory structure that applies to the Los Angeles County portion of the Mojave Desert Air Basin (MDAB), which encompasses the Project Site, pursuant to the regulatory authority of the AVAQMD.

Ambient air quality is commonly characterized by climate conditions, the meteorological influences on air quality, and the quantity and type of pollutants released. The air basin is subject to a combination of topographical and climatic factors that reduce the potential for high levels of regional and local air pollutants. The following section describes the pertinent characteristics of the air basin and provides an overview of the physical conditions affecting pollutant dispersion in the Project Area.

2.1.1 Mojave Desert Air Basin

The MDAB is comprised of four air districts, the East Kern County Air Pollution Control District, the AVAQMD, the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, and the eastern portion of the South Coast Air Quality Management District. The East Kern County Air Pollution Control District consists of the eastern portion of Kern County; the AVAQMD consists of the northeastern portion of Los Angeles County; the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District includes San Bernardino County and the most eastern portion of Riverside County; and the portion of the South Coast Air Quality Management District includes the eastern part of Riverside County.

2.1.1.1 Topography and Climate

The MDAB is an assemblage of mountain ranges interspersed with long broad valleys that often contain dry lakes. Many of the lower mountains which dot the vast terrain rise from 1,000 to 4,000 feet above the valley floor. Prevailing winds in the MDAB are out of the west and southwest. These prevailing winds are due to the proximity of the MDAB to coastal and central regions and the blocking nature of the Sierra Nevada mountains to the north; air masses pushed onshore in southern California by differential heating are channeled through the MDAB. The MDAB is separated from the southern California coastal and central California valley regions by mountains (highest elevation approximately 10,000 feet), whose passes form the main channels for these air masses. The Antelope Valley is bordered in the northwest by the Tehachapi Mountains, separated from the Sierra Nevadas in the north by the Tehachapi Pass (3,800 feet elevation). The Antelope Valley is bordered in the south by the San Gabriel Mountains, bisected by Soledad Canyon (3,300 feet). The Mojave Desert is bordered in the southwest by the San Bernardino Mountains, separated from the San Gabriels by the Cajon Pass (4,200 feet). A lesser channel lies between the San Bernardino Mountains and the Little San Bernardino Mountains (the Morongo Valley). The Palo Verde Valley portion of the Mojave Desert lies in the low desert, at the eastern end of a series of valleys (notably the Coachella Valley) whose primary channel is the San Gorgonio Pass (2,300 feet) between the San Bernardino and San Jacinto Mountains.

During the summer, the MDAB is generally influenced by a Pacific Subtropical High cell that sits off the coast, inhibiting cloud formation and encouraging daytime solar heating. The MDAB is rarely influenced by cold air masses moving south from Canada and Alaska, as these frontal systems are weak and diffuse by the time it reaches the desert. Most desert moisture arrives from infrequent warm, moist and unstable air masses from the south. The MDAB averages between three and seven inches of precipitation per year (from 16 to 30 days with at least 0.01 inches of precipitation). The MDAB is classified as a dry-hot desert climate (BWh), with portions classified as dry-very hot desert climate (BWhh), to indicate at least three months have maximum average temperatures over 100.4° F.

2.1.2 Criteria Air Pollutants

Criteria air pollutants are defined as those pollutants for which the federal and state governments have established air quality standards for outdoor or ambient concentrations to protect public health with a determined margin of safety. Ozone (O_3), coarse particulate matter (PM_{10}), and fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) are generally considered to be regional pollutants because they or their precursors affect air quality on a regional scale. Pollutants such as carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO_2), and sulfur dioxide (SO_2) are considered to be local pollutants because they tend to accumulate in the air locally. PM is also considered a local pollutant. Health effects commonly associated with criteria pollutants are summarized in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1. Summary of Criteria Air Pollutants Sources and Effects

Pollutant	Major Manmade Sources	Human Health and Welfare Effects
CO	An odorless, colorless gas formed when carbon in fuel is not burned completely; a component of motor vehicle exhaust.	Reduces the ability of blood to deliver oxygen to vital tissues, affecting the cardiovascular and nervous system. Impairs vision, causes dizziness, and can lead to unconsciousness or death.
NO_2	A reddish-brown gas formed during fuel combustion for motor vehicles, energy utilities and industrial sources.	Respiratory irritant; aggravates lung and heart problems. Precursor to ozone and acid rain. Causes brown discoloration of the atmosphere.
O_3	Formed by a chemical reaction between reactive organic gases (ROGs) and nitrous oxides (N_2O) in the presence of sunlight. Common sources of these precursor pollutants include motor vehicle exhaust, industrial emissions, solvents, paints and landfills.	Irritates and causes inflammation of the mucous membranes and lung airways; causes wheezing, coughing and pain when inhaling deeply; decreases lung capacity; aggravates lung and heart problems. Damages plants; reduces crop yield.
$PM_{2.5}$ & PM_{10}	Power plants, steel mills, chemical plants, unpaved roads and parking lots, wood-burning stoves and fireplaces, automobiles and others.	Increased respiratory symptoms, such as irritation of the airways, coughing, or difficulty breathing; aggravated asthma; development of chronic bronchitis; irregular heartbeat; nonfatal heart attacks; and premature death in people with heart or lung disease. Impairs visibility (haze).
SO_2	An odorless, colorless gas formed when carbon in fuel is not burned completely; a component of motor vehicle exhaust.	Reduces the ability of blood to deliver oxygen to vital tissues, affecting the cardiovascular and nervous system. Impairs vision, causes dizziness, and can lead to unconsciousness or death.

Source: California Air Pollution Control Offices Association (CAPCOA 2013)

2.1.2.1 Carbon Monoxide

CO in the urban environment is associated primarily with the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels in motor vehicles. CO combines with hemoglobin in the bloodstream and reduces the amount of oxygen that can be circulated through the body. High CO concentrations can cause headaches, aggravate cardiovascular disease and impair central nervous system functions. CO concentrations can vary greatly over comparatively short distances. Relatively high concentrations of CO are typically found near crowded intersections and along heavy roadways with slow moving traffic. Even under the most sever meteorological and traffic conditions, high concentrations of CO are limited to locations within relatively short distances (i.e., up to 600 feet or 185 meters) of the source. Overall CO emissions are decreasing as a result of the Federal Motor Vehicle Control Program, which has mandated increasingly lower emission levels for vehicles manufactured since 1973.

2.1.2.2 Nitrogen Oxides

Nitrogen gas comprises about 80 percent of the air and is naturally occurring. At high temperatures and under certain conditions, nitrogen can combine with oxygen to form several different gaseous compounds collectively called nitric oxides (NO_x). Motor vehicle emissions are the main source of NO_x in urban areas. NO_x is very toxic to animals and humans because of its ability to form nitric acid with water in the eyes, lungs, mucus membrane, and skin. In animals, long-term exposure to NO_x increases susceptibility to respiratory infections, and lowering resistance to such diseases as pneumonia and influenza. Laboratory studies show that susceptible humans, such as asthmatics, who are exposed to high concentrations can suffer from lung irritation or possible lung damage. Precursors of NO_x, such as NO and NO_2, attribute to the formation of O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$. Epidemiological studies have also shown associations between NO_2 concentrations and daily mortality from respiratory and cardiovascular causes and with hospital admissions for respiratory conditions.

2.1.2.3 Ozone

Ozone (O_3) is a secondary pollutant, meaning it is not directly emitted. It is formed when volatile organic compounds (VOCs) also known as reactive organic gases (ROG) and NO_x undergo photochemical reactions that occur only in the presence of sunlight. The primary source of ROG emissions is unburned hydrocarbons in motor vehicle and other internal combustion engine exhaust. Sunlight and hot weather cause ground-level O_3 to form. Ground-level O_3 is the primary constituent of smog. Because O_3 formation occurs over extended periods of time, both O_3 and its precursors are transported by wind and high O_3 concentrations can occur in areas well away from sources of its constituent pollutants.

People with lung disease, children, older adults, and people who are active can be affected when O_3 levels exceed ambient air quality standards. Numerous scientific studies have linked ground-level O_3 exposure to a variety of problems including lung irritation, difficult breathing, permanent lung damage to those with repeated exposure, and respiratory illnesses.

2.1.2.4 Sulfur Dioxide

SO_2 is a colorless gas with a pungent odor, however sulfur dioxide can react with other particulates in the atmosphere to for particulates which contribute to the haze effect. SO_2 standards have been developed by the EPA to regulate all sulfur oxides, however SO_2 is by far the most abundant sulfur oxide in the atmosphere. Currently, SO_2 is primarily a result of the burning of fossil fuels for power generation and other industrial sources. Modern regulations on diesel fuel have greatly reduced the amount of SO_2 in the atmosphere and there are currently no areas in California that have nonacceptable levels of SO_2, by state or federal standards.

2.1.2.5 Particulate Matter

Particulate matter includes both aerosols and solid particulates of a wide range of sizes and composition. Of concern are those particles smaller than or equal to 10 microns in diameter size (PM_{10}) and small than or equal to 2.5 microns in diameter ($PM_{2.5}$). Smaller particulates are of greater concern because they can penetrate deeper into the lungs than larger particles. PM_{10} is generally emitted directly as a result of mechanical processes that crush or grind larger particles or form the resuspension of dust, typically through construction activities and vehicular travel. PM_{10} generally settles out of the atmosphere rapidly and is not readily transported over large distances. $PM_{2.5}$ is directly emitted in combustion exhaust and is formed in atmospheric reactions between various gaseous pollutants, including NO_x, sulfur oxides (SO_x) and VOCs. $PM_{2.5}$ can remain suspended in the atmosphere for days and/or weeks and can be transported long distances.

The principal health effects of airborne PM are on the respiratory system. Short-term exposure of high $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} levels are associated with premature mortality and increased hospital admissions and emergency room visits. Long-term exposure is associated with premature mortality and chronic respiratory disease. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), some people are much more sensitive than others to breathing PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$. People with influenza, chronic respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, and the elderly may suffer worse illnesses; people with bronchitis can expect aggravated symptoms; and children may experience decline in lung function due to breathing in PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$. Other groups considered sensitive include smokers and people who cannot breathe well through their noses. Exercising athletes are also considered sensitive because many breathe through their mouths.

2.1.3 Toxic Air Contaminants

In addition to the criteria pollutants discussed above, toxic air contaminants (TACs) are another group of pollutants of concern. TACs are considered either carcinogenic or noncarcinogenic based on the nature of the health effects associated with exposure to the pollutant. For regulatory purposes, carcinogenic TACs are assumed to have no safe threshold below which health impacts would not occur, and cancer risk is expressed as excess cancer cases per one million exposed individuals. Noncarcinogenic TACs differ in that there is generally assumed to be a safe level of exposure below which no negative health impact is believed to occur. These levels are determined on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis. Carcinogenic TACs can also have noncarcinogenic health hazard levels.

There are many different types of TACs, with varying degrees of toxicity. Sources of TACs include industrial processes such as petroleum refining and chrome plating operations, commercial operations such as gasoline stations and dry cleaners, and motor vehicle exhaust. Additionally, diesel engines emit a complex mixture of air pollutants composed of gaseous and solid material. The solid emissions in diesel exhaust are known as diesel particulate matter (DPM). In 1998, California identified DPM as a TAC based on its potential to cause cancer, premature death, and other health problems (e.g., asthma attacks and other respiratory symptoms). Those most vulnerable are children (whose lungs are still developing) and the elderly (who may have other serious health problems). Overall, diesel engine emissions are responsible for the majority of California's known cancer risk from outdoor air pollutants. Diesel engines also contribute to California's $PM_{2.5}$ air quality problems. Public exposure to TACs can result from emissions from normal operations, as well as from accidental releases of hazardous materials during upset conditions. The health effects of TACs include cancer, birth defects, neurological damage, and death.

2.1.3.1 Diesel Exhaust

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) identified DPM as a TAC. DPM differs from other TACs in that it is not a single substance but rather a complex mixture of hundreds of substances. Diesel exhaust is a complex mixture of particles and gases produced when an engine burns diesel fuel. DPM is a concern because it causes lung cancer; many compounds found in diesel exhaust are carcinogenic. DPM includes the particle-phase constituents in diesel exhaust. The chemical composition and particle sizes of DPM vary between different engine types (heavy-duty, light-duty), engine operating conditions (idle, accelerate, decelerate), fuel formulations (high/low sulfur fuel), and the year of the engine (USEPA 2002). Some short-term (acute) effects of diesel exhaust include eye, nose, throat, and lung irritation, and diesel exhaust can cause coughs, headaches, light-headedness, and nausea. DPM poses the greatest health risk among the TACs; due to their extremely small size, these particles can be inhaled and eventually trapped in the bronchial and alveolar regions of the lung.

2.1.4 Ambient Air Quality

Ambient air quality at the Project Site can be inferred from ambient air quality measurements conducted at nearby air quality monitoring stations. CARB maintains more than 60 monitoring stations throughout California. O_3, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ are the pollutant species most potently affecting the Project region. As described in detail below, the region is designated nonattainment for the federal standards of O_3 and is nonattainment for the state standards of O_3 and PM_{10}. The MDAB portion of Los Angeles County contains several air quality monitors throughout the area, which capture the ambient concentrations of O_3, $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}. The Phelan-Beekly Road air quality monitoring station is approximately 15.4 miles east of the Project Site and monitors O_3. The Lancaster air quality monitoring station (43301 Division Street, Lancaster, CA) is approximately 27.9 miles northwest of the Project Site and monitors PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$. Table 2-2 summarizes the air quality data from the most recent years available. Ambient emission concentrations will vary due to localized variations in emission sources and climate, yet these measurements should be considered "generally" representative of ambient concentrations in the Project Area.

Table 2-2. Summary of Ambient Air Quality Data			
Pollutant Scenario	**2019**	**2020**	**2021**
O_3 – Phelan-Beekley Road Monitoring Station			
Max 1-hour concentration (ppm)	0.119	0.130	0.131
Max 8-hour concentration (ppm) (state/federal)	0.090 / 0.090	0.093 / 0.093	0.107 / 0.106
Number of days above 1-hour standard (state/federal)	12 / *	19 / *	31 / *
Number of days above 8-hour standard (state/federal)	44 / 44	63 / 63	77 / 75
PM_{10} – Lancaster - Division Street Monitoring Station			
Max 24-hour concentration (µg/m^3) (state/federal)	* / 165.1	* / 192.3	* / 411.2
Number of days above 24-hour standard (state/federal)	* / 2.1	* / 1.1	* / 1.0
$PM_{2.5}$ – Lancaster - Division Street Monitoring Station			
Max 24-hour concentration (µg/m^3)	13.6 / 13.6	74.7 / 74.7	35.7 / 35.7
Number of days above federal 24-hour standard	0.0	9.0	1.0

Source: CARB 2022a

Notes: * = Insufficient data available

µg/m^3 = micrograms per cubic meter; ppm = parts per million

The USEPA and CARB designate air basins or portions of air basins and counties as being in "attainment" or "nonattainment" for each of the criteria pollutants. Areas that do not meet the standards are classified as nonattainment areas. The National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for O_3, PM_{10}, and $PM_{2.5}$ are based on statistical calculations over one- to three-year periods, depending on the pollutant. The California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) are not to be exceeded during a three-year period. The attainment status for Los Angeles County portion of the MDAB is presented in Table 2-3.

Table 2-3. Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the Los Angeles County Portion of the MDAB		
Pollutant	**State Designation**	**Federal Designation**
O_3	Nonattainment	Nonattainment
PM_{10}	Nonattainment	Unclassified
$PM_{2.5}$	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment
CO	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment
NO_2	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment
SO_2	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment

Source: CARB 2022b

The determination of whether an area meets the state and federal standards is based on air quality monitoring data. As shown above, sometimes areas are unclassified, which means there is insufficient monitoring data for determining attainment or nonattainment. Unclassified areas are typically treated as being in attainment. Because the attainment/nonattainment designation is pollutant-specific, an area may be classified as nonattainment for one pollutant and attainment for another. Similarly, because the state and federal standards differ, an area could be classified as attainment for the federal standards of a pollutant and as nonattainment for the state standards of the same pollutant. The Los Angeles County portion of the MDAB, where the Project Site is located, is designated nonattainment for the federal standards of O_3 and is nonattainment for the state standards of O_3 and PM_{10} (CARB 2022b).

2.1.5 Sensitive Receptors

Sensitive receptors are defined as facilities or land uses that include members of the population that are particularly sensitive to the effects of air pollutants, such as children, the elderly, and people with illnesses. Examples of these sensitive receptors are residences, schools, hospitals, and daycare centers. CARB has identified the following groups of individuals as the most likely to be affected by air pollution: the elderly over 65, children under 14, athletes, and persons with cardiovascular and chronic respiratory diseases such as asthma, emphysema, and bronchitis. The nearest sensitive receptor to the Project Site is a residence located on Big Sky Drive, approximately 0.24 mile to the northwest of the Project Site.

2.2 Regulatory Framework

2.2.1 Federal

2.2.1.1 Clean Air Act

The Clean Air Act (CAA) of 1970 and the CAA Amendments of 1971 required the USEPA to establish the NAAQS, with states retaining the option to adopt more stringent standards or to include other specific pollutants.

These standards are the levels of air quality considered safe, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect the public health and welfare. They are designed to protect those "sensitive receptors" most susceptible to further respiratory distress such as asthmatics, the elderly, very young children, people already weakened by other disease or illness, and persons engaged in strenuous work or exercise. Healthy adults can tolerate occasional exposure to air pollutant concentrations considerably above these minimum standards before adverse effects are observed.

The USEPA has classified air basins (or portions thereof) as being in attainment, nonattainment, or unclassified for each criteria air pollutant, based on whether or not the NAAQS have been achieved. If an area is designated unclassified, it is because inadequate air quality data were available as a basis for a nonattainment or attainment designation. Table 2-3 lists the federal attainment status of the Los Angeles County portion of the MDAB for the criteria pollutants.

2.2.2 State

2.2.2.1 California Clean Air Act

The California Clean Air Act (CCAA) allows the state to adopt ambient air quality standards and other regulations provided that they are at least as stringent as federal standards. CARB, a part of the California Environmental Protection Agency, is responsible for the coordination and administration of both federal and state air pollution control programs within California, including setting the CAAQS. CARB also conducts research, compiles emission inventories, develops suggested control measures, and provides oversight of local programs. CARB establishes emissions standards for motor vehicles sold in California, consumer products (such as hairspray, aerosol paints, and barbecue lighter fluid), and various types of commercial equipment. It also sets fuel specifications to further reduce vehicular emissions. CARB also has primary responsibility for the development of California's State Implementation Plan (SIP), for which it works closely with the federal government and the local air districts.

2.2.2.2 California State Implementation Plan

The federal CAA (and its subsequent amendments) requires each state to prepare an air quality control plan referred to as the SIP. The SIP is a living document that is periodically modified to reflect the latest emissions inventories, plans, and rules and regulations of air basins as reported by the agencies with jurisdiction over them. The CAA Amendments dictate that states containing areas violating the NAAQS revise their SIPs to include extra control measures to reduce air pollution. The SIP includes strategies and control measures to attain the NAAQS by deadlines established by the CAA. The USEPA has the responsibility to review all SIPs to determine if they conform to the requirements of the CAA.

State law makes CARB the lead agency for all purposes related to the SIP. Local air districts and other agencies prepare SIP elements and submit them to CARB for review and approval. CARB then forwards SIP revisions to the USEPA for approval and publication in the Federal Register. The AVAQMD is the agency responsible for ensuring that NAAQS and CAAQS are not exceeded and that air quality conditions are maintained in the MDAB. In an attempt to achieve NAAQS and CAAQS and maintain air quality, the air district has completed the following air quality attainment plans and reports, which together constitute the SIP for the portion of the MDAB encompassing the Project:

- AVAQMD 2004 Ozone Attainment Plan
- AVAQMD Implementation Schedule for Measures to Reduce PM pursuant to H&S Code 39614(d)
- AVAQMD 2006 8-hr Ozone Reasonably Available Control Technology SIP Analysis
- AVAQMD 2006 8-hr Ozone Federal Negative Declarations for 51 Source Categories
- AVAQMD 2008 Ozone Attainment Plan
- AVAQMD 2010 8-hr Ozone Federal Negative Declarations for 3 Source Categories
- AVAQMD 2010 Ozone Attainment Plan Federal 8-Hour

- AVAQMD 2014 Supplement to the 2006 RACT SIP Analysis
- AVAQMD Smoke Management Program
- AVAQMD 2015 8-Hour RACT SIP Analysis
- AVAQMD 2015 8-hr Ozone Federal Negative Declaration for 20 CTG Source Categories
- AVAQMD 2016 8-hr Ozone Federal Negative Declaration for 7 CTG Source Categories
- AVAQMD 2017 Federal 75 ppb Ozone Attainment Plan
- AVAQMD 2020 70 ppb Ozone Evaluation: RACT SIP Analysis
- AVAQMD 2023 70 ppb Ozone Plan

2.2.2.3 Tanner Air Toxics Act & Air Toxics "Hot Spot" Information and Assessment Act

CARB's Statewide comprehensive air toxics program was established in 1983 with Assembly Bill (AB) 1807, the Toxic Air Contaminant Identification and Control Act (Tanner Air Toxics Act of 1983). AB 1807 created California's program to reduce exposure to air toxics and sets forth a formal procedure for CARB to designate substances as TACs. Once a TAC is identified, CARB adopts an airborne toxics control measure (ATCM) for sources that emit designated TACs. If there is a safe threshold for a substance at which there is no toxic effect, the control measure must reduce exposure to below that threshold. If there is no safe threshold, the measure must incorporate toxics best available control technology to minimize emissions.

CARB also administers the state's mobile source emissions control program and oversees air quality programs established by state statute, such as AB 2588, the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment Act of 1987. Under AB 2588, TAC emissions from individual facilities are quantified and prioritized by the air quality management district or air pollution control district. High priority facilities are required to perform a health risk assessment (HRA) and, if specific thresholds are exceeded, required to communicate the results to the public in the form of notices and public meetings. In September 1992, the "Hot Spots" Act was amended by Senate Bill (SB) 1731, which required facilities that pose a significant health risk to the community to reduce their risk through a risk management plan.

2.2.3 Local

2.2.3.1 Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District

As previously described, the AVAQMD is the agency primarily responsible for ensuring that federal and state ambient air quality standards are not exceeded and that air quality conditions are maintained. Responsibilities of the AVAQMD include, but are not limited to, adopting and enforcing rules and regulations concerning sources of air pollution, issuing permits for stationary sources of air pollution, monitoring ambient air quality and meteorological conditions, and implementing programs and regulations required by the federal CAA and CAA Amendments. Provisions applicable to the Proposed Project are summarized as follows:

- **Rule 201 – Permits to Construct** applies to the construction of air emissions sources that are not otherwise exempt under Rule 219.
- **Rule 203 – Permit to Operate** requires air emissions sources that are not exempted by Rule 219 to obtain an operating permit.
- **Rule 219 – Equipment Not Requiring a Permit** describes the type of equipment that does not require a permit pursuant to District Rules 201 and 203.
- **Rule 401 – Visible Emissions** limits visibility of fugitive dust to less than No. 1 on the Ringlemann Chart (i.e., 20 percent opacity).
- **Rule 402 – Nuisance** applies when complaints from the public are received by the district.
- **Rule 403 – Fugitive Dust** prohibits visible dust beyond the property line of the emission source, requires "every reasonable precaution" to minimize fugitive dust emissions and prevent trackout of materials onto public roadways, and prohibits greater than 100 $\mu g/m^3$ difference between upwind and downwind particulate concentrations.
- **Rule 404 – Particulate Matter Concentration** sets concentration limits based on the flow rate of the discharge. The concentration limits would apply to discharge from a stack (e.g., baghouse).
- **Rule 405 – Solid Particulate Matter Weight** limits emissions based on the weight of material processed.
- **Rule 900 – New Source Performance Standards** incorporates federal regulation (40 CFR 60) that affects the construction of emissions units. Requirements may or may not apply depending on the size, construction, and manufacture date of equipment that will be used. Specifically, NSPS OOO (40 CFR 60.670) applies to equipment in nonmetallic mineral processing plants.
- **Regulation XIII – New Source Review** contains a number of rules that are applied to new and modified sources.

2.2.3.2 County of Los Angeles General Plan

The Los Angeles County General Plan Air Quality Element establishes goals and policies related to protecting, maintaining, and enhancing air quality within the County. The following are strategies to address air quality concerns that are relevant to the Project:

- **Policy AQ 1.1:** Minimize health risks to people from industrial toxic or hazardous air pollutant emissions, with an emphasis on local hot spots, such as existing point sources affecting immediate sensitive receptors.
- **Policy AQ 1.3**: Reduce particulate inorganic and biological emissions from construction, grading, excavation, and demolition to the maximum extent feasible.
- **Policy AQ 2.3:** Support the conservation of natural resources and vegetation to reduce and mitigate air pollution impacts.
- **Policy AQ 2.4**: Coordinate with different agencies to minimize fugitive fust from different sources, activities, and uses.

2.3 Air Quality Emissions Impact Assessment

2.3.1 Threshold of Significance

The impact analysis provided below is based on the following California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Appendix G thresholds of significance. The Project would result in a significant impact to air quality if it would do any of the following:

1) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of any applicable air quality plan.

2) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors).

3) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

4) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people).

To assist local jurisdictions in the evaluation of air quality impacts under CEQA, the AVAQMD has published a guidance document for the preparation of the air quality portions of environmental documents that include thresholds of significance to be used in evaluating land use proposals. Thresholds of significance are based on a source's projected impacts and are a basis from which to apply mitigation measures. AVAQMD's CEQA thresholds have also been used to determine air quality impacts in this analysis. If a project's individual emissions exceed its identified significance thresholds, the Project would be cumulatively considerable. Projects that do not exceed the significance thresholds would not be considered cumulatively considerable.

The AVAQMD's established thresholds of significance for air quality for construction and operational activities of land use development projects are shown in Table 2-4.

Table 2-4. AVAQMD Significance Thresholds – Pounds per day		
Air Pollutant	**Construction Phase Project Level**	**Operational Phase Project Level**
Daily Thresholds - Pounds Per Day		
CO	548	548
NO_x	137	137
ROG	137	137
SO_x	137	137
PM_{10}	82	82
$PM_{2.5}$	65	65
Annual Thresholds – Tons Per Year		
CO	100	100
NO_x	25	25
ROG	25	25
SO_x	25	25
PM_{10}	15	15
$PM_{2.5}$	12	12

Source: AVAQMD 2016

By its very nature, air pollution is largely a cumulative impact. No single project is sufficient in size, by itself, to result in nonattainment of ambient air quality standards. Instead, a project's individual emissions contribute to existing cumulatively significant adverse air quality impacts. If a project's individual emissions exceed its identified significance thresholds, the project would be cumulatively considerable. Projects that do not exceed the significance thresholds would not be considered cumulatively considerable.

2.3.2 Methodology

Air quality impacts were assessed in accordance with methodologies recommended by the AVAQMD. Where criteria air pollutant quantification was required, emissions were modeled using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), version 2022.1. CalEEMod is a statewide land use emissions computer model designed to quantify potential criteria pollutant emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects. Project construction-generated air pollutant emissions were calculated using CalEEMod model defaults for the Los Angeles County portion of the MDAB. Operational air pollutant emissions were calculated based on the Project Site Plan and operational trip generation rates provided by KOA Corporation (2023).

2.3.3 Impact Analysis

2.3.3.1 Project Construction-Generated Criteria Air Quality Emissions

Emissions associated with Project construction would be temporary and short-term but have the potential to represent a significant air quality impact. Three basic sources of short-term emissions will be generated through construction of the Proposed Project: operation of the construction vehicles (i.e., tractors, forklifts, pavers), the creation of fugitive dust during clearing and grading, and the use of asphalt or other oil-based substances during paving and coating activities. Construction activities such as excavation and grading operations, construction vehicle traffic, and wind blowing over exposed soils would generate exhaust emissions and fugitive PM emissions that affect local air quality at various times during construction. Effects would be variable depending on the weather, soil conditions, the amount of activity taking place, and the nature of dust control efforts.

Construction-generated emissions associated with the Proposed Project were calculated using the CARB-approved CalEEMod computer program, which is designed to model emissions for land use development projects, based on typical construction requirements. See Attachment A for more information regarding the construction assumptions, including construction equipment and duration, used in this analysis.

Predicted maximum daily construction-generated emissions for the Proposed Project are summarized in Table 2-5. Construction-generated emissions are short-term and of temporary duration, lasting only if construction activities occur, but would be considered a significant air quality impact if the volume of pollutants generated exceeds the AVAQMD's thresholds of significance.

Table 2-5. Construction-Related Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions

Construction Year	Pollutants					
	ROG	**NO_X**	**CO**	**SO_2**	**PM_{10}**	**$PM_{2.5}$**
Daily Emissions (maximum pounds per day)						
Construction Calendar Year One	1.70	16.00	16.90	0.03	0.96	0.71
Construction Calendar Year Two	4.43	6.22	9.22	0.01	0.47	0.30
AVAQMD Daily Significance Threshold	*137 pounds/day*	*137 pounds/day*	*548 pounds/day*	*137 pounds/day*	*82 pounds/day*	*65 pounds/day*
Exceed AVAQMD Daily Threshold?	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**
Annual Emissions (tons per year)						
Construction Calendar Year One	0.17	1.50	1.61	0.00	0.07	0.06
Construction Calendar Year Two	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
AVAQMD Annual Significance Threshold	*25 tons/year*	*25 tons/year*	*100 tons/year*	*25 tons/year*	*15 tons/year*	*12 tons/year*
Exceed AVAQMD Annual Threshold?	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**

Source: CalEEMod version 2022.1. Refer to Attachment A for Model Data Outputs.
Notes: Construction emissions taken from the season, summer or winter, with the highest outputs.

According to Table 2-5, emissions generated during Project construction would not exceed the AVAQMD's thresholds of significance. Therefore, criteria pollutant emissions generated during Project construction would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard.

2.3.3.2 Project Operations Criteria Air Quality Emissions

Implementation of the Project would result in long-term operational emissions of criteria air pollutants such as PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$, CO, and SO_2 as well as O_3 precursors such as ROG and NO_X. The emissions associated with operations for the Project are summarized in Table 2-6 and compared to the AVAQMD's significance thresholds.

Table 2-6. Operational Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions

Emission Source	Pollutant (Pounds per Day)					
	ROG	NO_X	CO	SO_2	PM_{10}	$PM_{2.5}$
Daily Emissions						
Mobile	0.75	1.04	11.20	0.02	2.15	0.56
Area	0.11	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	**0.86**	**1.08**	**11.37**	**0.02**	**2.15**	**0.56**
AVAQMD Daily Significance Threshold	*137 pounds/day*	*137 pounds/day*	*548 pounds/day*	*137 pounds/day*	*82 pounds/day*	*65 pounds/day*
Exceed AVAQMD Daily Threshold?	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**
Annual Emissions						
Mobile	0.07	0.12	0.91	0.00	0.21	0.06
Area	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	**0.09**	**0.13**	**0.93**	**0.00**	**0.21**	**0.06**
AVAQMD Annual Significance Threshold	*25 tons/year*	*25 tons/year*	*100 tons/year*	*25 tons/year*	*15 tons/year*	*12 tons/year*
Exceed AVAQMD Annual Threshold?	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**

Source: CalEEMod version 2022.1. Refer to Attachment A for Model Data Outputs.
Notes: Daily operational emissions taken from the season, summer or winter, with the highest outputs.

As shown by Table 2-6, the criteria air pollutant emissions from operations of the Proposed Project do not exceed the significance thresholds set forth by the AVAQMD.

2.3.3.3 Project Consistency with Air Quality Planning

As part of its enforcement responsibilities, the USEPA requires each state with nonattainment areas to prepare and submit a SIP that demonstrates the means to attain the federal standards. The SIP must integrate federal, state, and local plan components and regulations to identify specific measures to reduce pollution in nonattainment areas, using a combination of performance standards and market-based programs. Similarly, under state law, the CCAA requires an air quality attainment plan to be prepared for areas designated as nonattainment with regard to the federal and state ambient air quality standards. Air quality attainment plans outline emissions limits and control measures to achieve and maintain these standards by the earliest practical date.

As previously described, the AVAQMD is the agency responsible for enforcing many federal and state air quality requirements and for establishing air quality rules and regulations. The AVAQMD attains and maintains air quality conditions in the Los Angeles County portion of the MDAB. They achieve this through a comprehensive program of planning, regulation, enforcement, technical innovation, and promotion of the understanding of air quality issues. As part of this effort, the AVAQMD has developed input to the SIP in the form of the air quality attainment plans and reports listed in Section 2.2.2.2 above. These plans constitute the SIP for the portion of the MDAB encompassing the Project and include the AVAQMD's plans and control measures for attaining air quality standards. These air quality attainment plans are a compilation of new and previously submitted plans, programs (e.g., monitoring, modeling, permitting), district rules, state regulations, and federal controls describing how the state will attain ambient air quality standards. The AVAQMD has in place Reasonably Available Control Technology requirements and emission rules for the majority of emission sources; published in several different regulatory documents. The most recent Reasonably Available Control Technology requirements were adopted in 2020.

According to the AVAQMD, a project conforms with the AVAQMD Attainment Plans if it complies with all applicable district rules and regulations and is consistent with the growth forecasts in the applicable plans (or is directly included in the applicable plan). A project is nonconforming if it conflicts with or delays implementation of any applicable attainment or maintenance plan. Conformity with growth forecasts can be established by demonstrating that the Project is consistent with the land use plan that was used to generate the growth forecast.

Several AVAQMD rules that have been adopted over the years apply to the Project. Rule 403 – *Fugitive Dust*, prohibits visible dust beyond the property line of the emission source, requires "every reasonable precaution" to minimize fugitive dust emissions and prevent trackout of materials onto public roadways, and prohibits greater than 100 $\mu g/m^3$ (micrograms per cubic meter) difference between upwind and downwind particulate concentrations. Rule 402 prohibits nuisance due to air quality contaminants and Rule 401 limits visibility of fugitive dust to less than No. 1 on the Ringlemann Chart (i.e., 20 percent opacity).

As identified in Table 2-5 and Table 2-6, criteria air pollutant emissions from both construction and operations of the Proposed Project would not exceed the significance thresholds set forth by the AVAQMD, and therefore the Project would not delay implementation of AVAQMD air quality planning efforts. Lastly, the Proposed Project would not result in population or job growth and therefore is consistent with the growth forecasts used to inform AVAQMD air quality planning. More specifically, the Proposed Project would replace the Devil's Punchbowl Nature Center that was burned down and would not change the type of uses that occur on the Site. As such, the Proposed Project would not conflict or obstruct implementation of the AVAPCD Attainment Plans and would be consistent with emission-reduction goals.

2.3.3.4 Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Toxic Air Contaminants

As previously described, sensitive receptors are defined as facilities or land uses that include members of the population that are particularly sensitive to the effects of air pollutants, such as children, the elderly, and people with illnesses. Examples of these sensitive receptors are residences, schools, hospitals, and daycare centers. CARB has identified the following groups of individuals as the most likely to be affected by air pollution: the elderly over age 65, children under age 14, athletes, and persons with cardiovascular and chronic respiratory diseases such as asthma, emphysema, and bronchitis. As previously described, the nearest sensitive receptor to the Project Site is a residence accessed from Big Sky Drive, approximately 0.24 mile to the northwest of the Project Site.

Construction-Generated Air Contaminants

Construction of the Project would result in temporary emissions of DPM, ROG, NO_x, CO, PM_{10}, and $PM_{2.5}$ from the exhaust of off-road, heavy-duty diesel equipment for Project construction; site grading; trenching; and other miscellaneous activities. As previously identified, the area of the MDAB which encompasses the Project Area is designated nonattainment for the federal standards of O_3 and is nonattainment for the state standards of O_3 and PM_{10} (CARB 2022b). Thus, existing levels of these criteria pollutants in the MDAB are at unhealthy levels during certain periods. However, shown in Tables 2-5 construction-related emissions would not result in an exceedance of the AVAQMD thresholds.

The health effects associated with O_3 are generally associated with reduced lung function. Because the Project would not involve construction activities that would result in O_3 precursor emissions (ROG or NO_x) in excess of the AVAQMD thresholds, the Project is not anticipated to substantially contribute to regional O_3 concentrations and the associated health impacts.

CO tends to be a localized impact associated with congested intersections. In terms of adverse health effects, CO competes with oxygen, often replacing it in the blood, reducing the blood's ability to transport oxygen to vital organs. The results of excess CO exposure can include dizziness, fatigue, and impairment of central nervous system functions. The Project would not involve construction activities that would result in CO emissions in excess of AVAQMD thresholds. Thus, the Project's CO emissions would not contribute to the health effects associated with this pollutant.

Particulate matter (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$) contains microscopic solids or liquid droplets that are so small that they can get deep into the lungs and cause serious health problems. Particulate matter exposure has been linked to a variety of problems, including premature death in people with heart or lung disease, nonfatal heart attacks, irregular heartbeat, aggravated asthma, decreased lung function, and increased respiratory symptoms such as irritation of the airways, coughing, or difficulty breathing. For construction activity, DPM is the primary TAC of concern. PM_{10} exhaust is considered a surrogate for DPM as all diesel exhaust is considered to be DPM and PM_{10} contains $PM_{2.5}$ as a subset. As with O_3 and NO_x, the Project would not generate emissions of PM_{10} or $PM_{2.5}$ that would exceed the AVAQMD's thresholds. Accordingly, the Project's PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ emissions are not expected to cause any increase in related regional health effects for these pollutants.

In summary, Project construction would not result in a potentially significant contribution to regional concentrations of air pollutants and would not result in a significant contribution to the adverse health impacts associated with those pollutants.

Operational Air Contaminants

Operation of the Proposed Project would not result in the development of any substantial sources of air toxics. There are no stationary sources associated with the operations of the Project; nor would the Project attract mobile sources that spend long periods queuing and idling at the site. The operational emissions are expected to come from Project visitors who drive to the Project Site. However, according to Table 2-6, onsite Project emissions would not result in emissions of criteria pollutants over the AVAQMD thresholds. Therefore, there would not be significant concentrations of pollutants at nearby sensitive receptors. The Project would not be a source of TACs. The Project will not result in a high carcinogenic or non-carcinogenic risk during operation.

Carbon Monoxide Hot Spots

It has long been recognized that CO exceedances are caused by vehicular emissions, primarily when idling at intersections. Concentrations of CO are a direct function of the number of vehicles, length of delay, and traffic flow conditions. Under certain meteorological conditions, CO concentrations close to congested intersections that experience high levels of traffic and elevated background concentrations may reach unhealthy levels, affecting nearby sensitive receptors. Given the high traffic volume potential, areas of high CO concentrations, or "hot spots," are typically associated with intersections that are projected to operate at unacceptable levels of service during the peak commute hours. It has long been recognized that CO hotspots are caused by vehicular emissions, primarily when idling at congested intersections. However, transport of this criteria pollutant is extremely limited, and CO disperses rapidly with distance from the source under normal meteorological conditions. Furthermore, vehicle emissions standards have become increasingly more stringent in the last 20 years. Currently, the allowable CO emissions standard in California is a maximum of 3.4 grams/mile for passenger cars (there are requirements for certain vehicles that are more stringent). With the turnover of older vehicles, introduction of cleaner fuels, and implementation of increasingly sophisticated and efficient emissions control technologies, CO concentration in the SVAB is designated as in attainment. Detailed modeling of Project-specific CO "hot spots" is not necessary and thus this potential impact is addressed qualitatively.

A CO "hot spot" would occur if an exceedance of the state one-hour standard of 20 parts per million (ppm)or the eight-hour standard of 9 ppm were to occur. The analysis prepared for CO attainment in the South Coast Air Quality Management District's (SCAQMD's) 1992 Federal Attainment Plan for Carbon Monoxide in Los Angeles County and a Modeling and Attainment Demonstration prepared by the SCAQMD as part of the 2003 AQMP can be used to demonstrate the potential for CO exceedances of these standards. The SCAQMD is the air pollution control officer for much of southern California. The SCAQMD conducted a CO hot spot analysis as part of the 1992 CO Federal Attainment Plan at four busy intersections in Los Angeles County during the peak morning and afternoon time periods. The intersections evaluated included Long Beach Boulevard and Imperial Highway (Lynwood), Wilshire Boulevard and Veteran Avenue (Westwood), Sunset Boulevard and Highland Avenue (Hollywood), and La Cienega Boulevard and Century Boulevard (Inglewood). The busiest intersection evaluated was at Wilshire Boulevard and Veteran Avenue, which has a traffic volume of approximately 100,000 vehicles per day. Despite this level of traffic, the CO analysis concluded that there was no violation of CO standards (SCAQMD 1992). In order to establish a more accurate record of baseline CO concentrations affecting Los Angeles, a CO "hot spot" analysis was conducted in 2003 at the same four busy intersections in Los Angeles at the peak morning and afternoon time periods. This "hot spot" analysis did not predict any violation of CO standards. The highest one-hour concentration was measured at 4.6 ppm at Wilshire Boulevard and Veteran Avenue and the highest eight-hour concentration was measured at 8.4 ppm at Long Beach Boulevard and Imperial Highway. Thus, there was no violation of CO standards. Similar considerations are also employed by other Air Districts when evaluating potential CO concentration impacts. More specifically, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), the air pollution control officer for the San Francisco Bay Area, concludes that under existing and future vehicle emission rates, a given project would have to increase traffic volumes at a single intersection by more than 44,000 vehicles per hour or 24,000 vehicles per hour where vertical and/or horizontal air does not mix—in order to generate a significant CO impact.

The Proposed Project is anticipated to result in 40 weekday trips, 100 Saturday trips, and 105 Sunday trips daily (KOA 2023). Thus, the Proposed Project would not generate traffic volumes at any intersection of more than 100,000 vehicles per day (or 44,000 vehicles per day) and there is no likelihood of the Project traffic exceeding CO values.

2.3.3.5 Odors

Typically, odors are regarded as an annoyance rather than a health hazard. However, manifestations of a person's reaction to foul odors can range from psychological (e.g., irritation, anger, or anxiety) to physiological (e.g., circulatory and respiratory effects, nausea, vomiting, and headache).

With respect to odors, the human nose is the sole sensing device. The ability to detect odors varies considerably among the population and overall is quite subjective. Some individuals have the ability to smell minute quantities of specific substances; others may not have the same sensitivity but may have sensitivities to odors of other substances. In addition, people may have different reactions to the same odor; in fact, an odor that is offensive to one person (e.g., from a fast-food restaurant) may be perfectly acceptable to another. It is also important to note that an unfamiliar odor is more easily detected and is more likely to cause complaints than a familiar one. This is because of the phenomenon known as odor fatigue, in which a person can become desensitized to almost any odor and recognition only occurs with an alteration in the intensity.

Quality and intensity are two properties present in any odor. The quality of an odor indicates the nature of the smell experience. For instance, if a person describes an odor as flowery or sweet, then the person is describing the quality of the odor. Intensity refers to the strength of the odor. For example, a person may use the word "strong" to describe the intensity of an odor. Odor intensity depends on the odorant concentration in the air. When an odorous sample is progressively diluted, the odorant concentration decreases. As this occurs, the odor intensity weakens and eventually becomes so low that the detection or recognition of the odor is quite difficult. At some point during dilution, the concentration of the odorant reaches a detection threshold. An odorant concentration below the detection threshold means that the concentration in the air is not detectable by the average human.

During construction, the Proposed Project presents the potential for generation of objectionable odors in the form of diesel exhaust in the immediate vicinity of the site. However, these emissions are short-term in nature and will rapidly dissipate and be diluted by the atmosphere downwind of the emission sources.

Additionally, odors would be localized and generally confined to the construction area. Therefore, construction odors would not adversely affect a substantial number of people to odor emissions.

Land uses commonly considered to be potential sources of obnoxious odorous emissions include agriculture (farming and livestock), wastewater treatment plants, food processing plants, chemical plants, composting facilities, refineries, landfills, dairies, and fiberglass molding. The Project is proposing a nature center and associated features, which is not a use associated with odors.

3.0 GREEENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

3.1 Greenhouse Gas Setting

Certain gases in the earth's atmosphere, classified as GHGs, play a critical role in determining the earth's surface temperature. Solar radiation enters the earth's atmosphere from space. A portion of the radiation is absorbed by the earth's surface and a smaller portion of this radiation is reflected back toward space. This absorbed radiation is then emitted from the earth as low-frequency infrared radiation. The frequencies at which bodies emit radiation are proportional to temperature. Because the earth has a much lower temperature than the sun, it emits lower-frequency radiation. Most solar radiation passes through GHGs; however, infrared radiation is absorbed by these gases. As a result, radiation that otherwise would have escaped back into space is instead trapped, resulting in a warming of the atmosphere. This phenomenon, known as the greenhouse effect, is responsible for maintaining a habitable climate on earth. Without the greenhouse effect, the earth would not be able to support life as we know it.

Prominent GHGs contributing to the greenhouse effect are carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), and nitrous oxide (N_2O). Fluorinated gases also make up a small fraction of the GHGs that contribute to climate change. Fluorinated gases include chlorofluorocarbons, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, and nitrogen trifluoride; however, it is noted that these gases are not associated with typical land use development. Human-caused emissions of these GHGs in excess of natural ambient concentrations are believed to be responsible for intensifying the greenhouse effect and leading to a trend of unnatural warming of the earth's climate, known as global climate change or global warming. It is "extremely likely" that more than half of the observed increase in global average surface temperature from 1951 to 2010 was caused by the anthropogenic increase in GHG concentrations and other anthropogenic factors together (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC] 2014).

Table 3-1 describes the primary GHGs attributed to global climate change, including their physical properties, primary sources, and contributions to the greenhouse effect.

Each GHG differs in its ability to absorb heat in the atmosphere based on the lifetime, or persistence, of the gas molecule in the atmosphere. CH_4 traps over 25 times more heat per molecule than CO_2, and N_2O absorbs 298 times more heat per molecule than CO_2 (IPCC 2014). Often, estimates of GHG emissions are presented in carbon dioxide equivalents (CO_2e), which weight each gas by its global warming potential. Expressing GHG emissions in CO_2e takes the contribution of all GHG emissions to the greenhouse effect and converts them to a single unit equivalent to the effect that would occur if only CO_2 were being emitted.

Climate change is a global problem. GHGs are global pollutants, unlike criteria air pollutants and TACs, which are pollutants of regional and local concern. Whereas pollutants with localized air quality effects have relatively short atmospheric lifetimes (about one day), GHGs have long atmospheric lifetimes (one to several thousand years). GHGs persist in the atmosphere for long enough time periods to be dispersed around the globe. Although the exact lifetime of any particular GHG molecule is dependent on multiple variables and cannot be pinpointed, it is understood that more CO_2 is emitted into the atmosphere than is sequestered by ocean uptake, vegetation, or other forms. Of the total annual human-caused CO_2 emissions, approximately 55 percent is sequestered through ocean and land uptakes every year, averaged over the last 50 years, whereas the remaining 45 percent of human-caused CO_2 emissions remains stored in the atmosphere (IPCC 2013).

Table 3-1. Summary of Greenhouse Gases

Greenhouse Gas	Description
CO_2	Carbon dioxide is a colorless, odorless gas. CO_2 is emitted in a number of ways, both naturally and through human activities. The largest source of CO_2 emissions globally is the combustion of fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and gas in power plants, automobiles, industrial facilities, and other sources. A number of specialized industrial production processes and product uses such as mineral production, metal production, and the use of petroleum-based products can also lead to CO_2 emissions. The atmospheric lifetime of CO_2 is variable because it is so readily exchanged in the atmosphere.[1]
CH_4	Methane is a colorless, odorless gas and is the major component of natural gas, about 87 percent by volume. It is also formed and released to the atmosphere by biological processes occurring in anaerobic environments. Methane is emitted from a variety of both human-related and natural sources. Human-related sources include fossil fuel production, animal husbandry (intestinal fermentation in livestock and manure management), rice cultivation, biomass burning, and waste management. These activities release significant quantities of CH_4 to the atmosphere. Natural sources of CH4 include wetlands, gas hydrates, permafrost, termites, oceans, freshwater bodies, non-wetland soils, and other sources such as wildfires. The atmospheric lifetime of CH_4 is about 12 years.[2]
N_2O	Nitrous oxide is a clear, colorless gas with a slightly sweet odor. Nitrous oxide is produced by both natural and human-related sources. Primary human-related sources of N_2O are agricultural soil management, animal manure management, sewage treatment, mobile and stationary combustion of fossil fuels, adipic acid production, and nitric acid production. N_2O is also produced naturally from a wide variety of biological sources in soil and water, particularly microbial action in wet tropical forests. The atmospheric lifetime of N_2O is approximately 120 years.[3]

Sources: (1) USEPA 2016a; (2) USEPA 2016b; (3) USEPA 2016c

The quantity of GHGs that it takes to ultimately result in climate change is not precisely known; it is sufficient to say the quantity is enormous, and no single project alone would measurably contribute to a noticeable incremental change in the global average temperature or to global, local, or microclimates. From the standpoint of CEQA, GHG impacts to global climate change are inherently cumulative.

3.1.1 Sources of Greenhouse Gas Emissions

In 2022, CARB released the 2022 edition of the California GHG inventory covering calendar year 2020 emissions. In 2020, California emitted 369.2 million gross metric tons of CO_2e including from imported electricity. Combustion of fossil fuel in the transportation sector was the single largest source of California's GHG emissions in 2020, accounting for approximately 38 percent of total GHG emissions in the state. Continuing the downward trend from previous years, transportation emissions decreased 27 million metric tons of CO_2e in 2020, though the intensity of this decrease was most likely from light duty vehicles after shelter-in-place orders were enacted in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Emissions from the electricity sector account for 16 percent of the inventory and have remained at a similar level as in 2019 despite a 44 percent decrease in in-state hydropower generation (due to below average precipitation levels), which was more than compensated for by a 10 percent growth in in-state solar generation and cleaner imported electricity incentivized by California's clean energy policies. California's industrial sector accounts for the second largest source of the state's GHG emissions in 2020, accounting for 23 percent (CARB 2022c).

3.2 Regulatory Framework

3.2.1 State

3.2.1.1 Executive Order S-3-05

Executive Order (EO) S-3-05, signed by Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger in 2005, proclaims that California is vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. It declares that increased temperatures could reduce the Sierra Nevada snowpack, further exacerbate California's air quality problems, and potentially cause a rise in sea levels. To combat those concerns, the EO established total GHG emission targets for the state. Specifically, emissions are to be reduced to the 2000 level by 2010, the 1990 level by 2020, and to 80 percent below the 1990 level by 2050.

3.2.1.2 Assembly Bill 32 Climate Change Scoping Plan and Updates

In 2006, the California legislature passed Assembly Bill (AB) 32 (Health and Safety Code § 38500 et seq., or AB 32), also known as the Global Warming Solutions Act. AB 32 required CARB to design and implement feasible and cost-effective emission limits, regulations, and other measures, such that statewide GHG emissions are reduced to 1990 levels by 2020 (representing a 25 percent reduction in emissions). Pursuant to AB 32, CARB adopted a Scoping Plan in December 2008, which outlined measures to meet the 2020 GHG reduction goals. California exceeded the target of reducing GHG emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2017.

The Scoping Plan is required by AB 32 to be updated at least every five years. The latest update, the 2017 Scoping Plan Update, addresses the 2030 target established by Senate Bill (SB) 32 as discussed below and establishes a proposed framework of action for California to meet a 40 percent reduction in GHG emissions by 2030 compared to 1990 levels. The key programs that the Scoping Plan Update builds on include increasing the use of renewable energy in the State, the Cap-and-Trade Regulation, the Low Carbon Fuel Standard, and reduction of methane emissions from agricultural and other wastes.

3.2.1.3 Senate Bill 32 and Assembly Bill 197 of 2016

In August 2016, Governor Brown signed SB 32 and AB 197, which serve to extend California's GHG reduction programs beyond 2020. SB 32 amended the Health and Safety Code to include § 38566, which contains language to authorize CARB to achieve a statewide GHG emission reduction of at least 40 percent below 1990 levels by no later than December 31, 2030.

3.2.1.4 Senate Bill 375

The Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008, which became effective in January 2009, helps facilitate AB 32's GHG reduction goals by addressing the emissions from passenger vehicles. The main objectives of the bill aim to reduce GHG emissions through extensive transportation, housing, and land use planning. SB 375 directs CARB to establish regional targets to reduce GHG emissions from passenger vehicle use. CARB administers 2020 and 2035 targets for each of the regions throughout the State. The corresponding metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) in each region are required to prepare and adopt a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) which help adhere to the CARB administered targets.

Sustainable Community Strategies play a vital role in regional transportation plans by allowing transportation, land use, and housing strategies to align with the State's GHG emission goals. Project Plans that are consistent with their region's SCS may be subject to a more streamlined CEQA process.

3.2.1.5 Senate Bill X1-2 of 2011, Senate Bill 350 of 2015, and Senate Bill 100 of 2018

In 2018, SB 100 was signed codifying a goal of 60 percent renewable procurement by 2030 and 100 percent by 2045 Renewables Portfolio Standard.

3.2.1.6 2022 Building Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings

The Building and Efficiency Standards (Energy Standards) were first adopted and put into effect in 1978 and have been updated periodically in the intervening years. These standards are a unique California asset that have placed the State on the forefront of energy efficiency, sustainability, energy independence and climate change issues. The 2022 California Building Codes include provisions related to energy efficiency to reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions from buildings. Some of the key energy efficiency components of the codes are:

1. Energy Performance Requirements: The codes specify minimum energy performance standards for the building envelope, lighting, heating and cooling systems, and other components.
2. Lighting Efficiency: The codes require that lighting systems meet minimum efficiency standards, such as the use of energy-efficient light bulbs and fixtures.
3. HVAC Systems: The codes establish requirements for heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems, including the use of high-efficiency equipment, duct sealing, and controls.
4. Building Envelope: The codes include provisions for insulation, air sealing, glazing, and other building envelope components to reduce energy loss and improve indoor comfort.
5. Renewable Energy: The codes encourage the use of renewable energy systems, such as photovoltaic panels and wind turbines, to reduce dependence on non-renewable energy sources.
6. Commissioning: The codes require the commissioning of building energy systems to ensure that they are installed and operate correctly and efficiently.

Overall, the energy efficiency provisions of the 2022 California Building Codes aim to reduce the energy consumption of buildings, lower energy costs for building owners and occupants, and reduce the environmental impact of the built environment. The 2022 Building Energy Efficiency Standards improve upon the 2019 Energy Standards for new construction of, and additions and alterations to, residential and nonresidential buildings. The exact amount by which the 2022 Building Codes are more efficient compared to the 2019 Building Codes would depend on the specific provisions that have been updated and the specific building being considered. However, in general, the 2022 Building Codes have been updated to include increased requirements for energy efficiency, such as higher insulation and air sealing standards, which are intended to result in more efficient buildings. The 2022 standards are a major step toward meeting Zero Net Energy.

3.2.2 Local

3.2.2.1 Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District

The AVAQMD is an expert commenting agency on air quality and related matters within its jurisdiction or impacting on its jurisdiction. The AVAQMD provides guidelines to assessing the significance of project specific GHG emissions and offers both daily and annual significance thresholds for GHG emissions.

3.2.2.2 Los Angeles County Climate Action Planning

Los Angeles County Community Climate Action Plan and 2020 Climate Action Plan

The Los Angeles County Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP) was adopted in 2015 and provides policy guidance from reducing GHG emissions generated within the unincorporated areas. To reduce the impacts of climate change, the County set a target to reduce GHG emissions from community activities within the unincorporated areas by at least 11 percent below 2010 by 2020. The CCAP aims to achieve the County's goal of reducing its emissions to 1990 levels by 2020. The CCAP focused on five strategy areas: green building and energy; land use and transportation; water conservation and wastewater; waste reduction, reuse, and recycling; and land conservation and tree planting.

In 2020, The County of Los Angeles released an update to the CCAP and adopted the Los Angeles 2020 Climate Action Plan (2020 CAP). The 2020 CAP updates the GHG inventories, future projections of emissions, outlines carbon neutrality and reduction targets for the future, and identifies municipal measures and strategies to be developed for the County to achieve the goals. The 2020 CAP is the County's currently adopted climate action plan, but it is currently being updated.

Revised Draft 2045 Climate Action Plan

The Los Angeles County Revised Draft 2045 Climate Action Plan (Draft 2045 CAP) builds on the County's previous 2020 CAP. The 2020 CAP sets emissions targets and the updated version of the CAP extends to the horizon year 2045 and aligns with the state's goals of carbon neutrality. The Draft 2045 CAP aims to implement strategies, measures, and actions to mitigate GHG emissions from community activities and municipal operations. More specifically, the Draft 2045 CAP will include an updated emissions inventory, projections of future emissions, new reduction targets and goals for 2045 carbon neutrality, revised GHG reduction measures and strategies, a consideration of environmental justice concerns, and a new CEQA environmental review consistency checklist for GHG emissions. Nevertheless, at the time this analysis was considered, the Draft 2045 CAP has not been officially finalized or adopted.

3.2.2.3 Los Angeles County General Plan Air Quality Element

The Air Quality Element of the General Plan summarizes air quality issues and outlines goals and policies that will reduce GHG emissions. The following goals and policies are applicable to the Proposed Project.

Policy AQ 3.1: Facilitate the implementation and maintenance of the Community Climate Action Plan to ensure that the County reaches its climate change and greenhouse gas emission reduction goals.

3.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact Assessment

3.3.1 Thresholds of Significance

The impact analysis provided below is based on the following CEQA Guidelines Appendix G thresholds of significance. The Project would result in a significant impact to GHG emissions if it would:

1) Generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment or

2) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases.

The Appendix G thresholds for GHG's do not prescribe specific methodologies for performing an assessment, do not establish specific thresholds of significance, and do not mandate specific mitigation measures. Rather, the CEQA Guidelines emphasize the lead agency's discretion to determine the appropriate methodologies and thresholds of significance consistent with the manner in which other impact areas are handled in CEQA. With respect to GHG emissions, the CEQA Guidelines § 15064.4(a) states that lead agencies "shall make a good-faith effort, based to the extent possible on scientific and factual data, to describe, calculate or estimate" GHG emissions resulting from a project. The CEQA Guidelines note that an agency has the discretion to either quantify a project's GHG emissions or rely on a "qualitative analysis or other performance-based standards." (14 California Code of Regulations [CCR] 15064.4(b)). A lead agency may use a "model or methodology" to estimate GHG emissions and has the discretion to select the model or methodology it considers "most appropriate to enable decision makers to intelligently take into account the project's incremental contribution to climate change." (14 CCR 15064.4(c)). Section 15064.4(b) provides that the lead agency should consider the following when determining the significance of impacts from GHG emissions on the environment:

1. The extent a project may increase or reduce GHG emissions as compared to the existing environmental setting.
2. Whether the project emissions exceed a threshold of significance that the lead agency determines applies to the project.
3. The extent to which the project complies with regulations or requirements adopted to implement a statewide, regional, or local plan for the reduction or mitigation of GHG emissions (14 CCR 15064.4(b)).

In addition, Section 15064.7(c) of the CEQA Guidelines specifies that "[w]hen adopting or using thresholds of significance, a lead agency may consider thresholds of significance previously adopted or recommended by other public agencies, or recommended by experts, provided the decision of the lead agency to adopt such thresholds is supported by substantial evidence" (14 CCR 15064.7(c)). The CEQA Guidelines also clarify that the effects of GHG emissions are cumulative and should be analyzed in the context of CEQA's requirements for cumulative impact analysis (see CEQA Guidelines § 15130(f)). As a note, the CEQA

Guidelines were amended in response to SB 97. In particular, the CEQA Guidelines were amended to specify that compliance with a GHG emissions reduction plan renders a cumulative impact insignificant.

Per CEQA Guidelines § 15064(h)(3), a project's incremental contribution to a cumulative impact can be found not cumulatively considerable if the project would comply with an approved plan or mitigation program that provides specific requirements that would avoid or substantially lessen the cumulative problem within the geographic area of the project. To qualify, such plans or programs must be specified in law or adopted by the public agency with jurisdiction over the affected resources through a public review process to implement, interpret, or make specific the law enforced or administered by the public agency. Examples of such programs include a "water quality control plan, air quality attainment or maintenance plan, integrated waste management plan, habitat conservation plan, natural community conservation plans [and] plans or regulations for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions." Put another way, CEQA Guidelines § 15064(h)(3) allows a lead agency to make a finding of less than significant for GHG emissions if a project complies with adopted programs, plans, policies and/or other regulatory strategies to reduce GHG emissions.

The 2020 CAP is the most recently adopted County document addressing GHG emissions. The 2020 CAP outlines municipal actions and strategies to be taken by the County to achieve the GHG targets set out in the plan. The newest plan, the Draft 2045 CAP, is still being drafted, and has not been finalized or adopted at the time of this analysis. Yet it is noted that the document sets bold targets and contains strategies for reducing GHG emissions to the level of achieving carbon neutrality. Due to the timing of this document in correlation with the unfinalized Draft 2045 CAP, the Project will be analyzed for consistency with the GHG reduction measures contained in the 2020 CAP.

The AVAQMD's (2016) California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) And Federal Conformity Guidelines identifies both annual and daily construction significance thresholds for GHG emissions. The Proposed Project is compared to the AVAQMD annual threshold of 100,000 metric tons of CO_2e annually as well as the AVAQMD daily threshold of 548,000 pounds of CO_2e daily. The Project is also compared for consistency with the goals and policies in the Los Angeles County's 2020 CAP.

3.3.2 Methodology

GHG emissions were modeled using CalEEMod, version 2022.1. CalEEMod is a statewide land use emissions computer model designed to quantify potential GHG emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects. Project construction-generated GHG emissions were calculated using CalEEMod model defaults for the Los Angeles County portion of the MDAB. Operational GHG emissions were calculated based on the Project Site Plan and operational trip generation rates provided by KOA Corporation (2023).

3.3.3 Impact Analysis

3.3.3.1 Project Construction-Generated Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Construction-related activities that would generate GHG emissions include worker commute trips, haul trucks carrying supplies and materials to and from the Project Site, and off-road construction equipment (e.g., dozers, loaders, excavators). Table 3-2 illustrates the specific construction generated GHG emissions that would result from construction of the Project. Once construction is complete, the generation of these GHG emissions would cease.

Table 3-2. Construction Related Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Description	CO_2e Emissions
Daily Emissions (maximum pounds per day)	
Construction Calendar Year One	2,825
Construction Calendar Year Two	1,444
AVAQMD Daily Significance Threshold	*548,000 pounds/day*
Exceed AVAQMD Daily Threshold?	**No**
Annual Emissions (metric tons per year)	
Construction Calendar Year One	266
Construction Calendar Year Two	1
AVAQMD Annual Significance Threshold	*100,000 metric tons/year*
Exceed AVAQMD Annual Threshold?	**No**

Sources: CalEEMod version 2022.1. Refer to Attachment A for Model Data Outputs

As shown in Table 3-2, construction-generated emissions would not exceed AVAQMD significance thresholds.

3.3.3.2 Project Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Long-term operational GHG emissions attributable to the Project are identified in Table 3-3.

Table 3-3. Operational-Related Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
Emission Source	**CO_2e Emissions**
Daily Emissions (maximum pounds per day)	
Mobile	2,492
Area	1
Energy	90
Water	5
Waste	6
Refrigerants	0
Total Daily Operational Emissions	**2,594 pounds/day**
AVAQMD Daily Significance Threshold	*548,000 pounds/day*
Exceed AVAQMD Daily Threshold?	**No**
Annual Emissions (metric tons per year)	
Mobile	212
Area	0
Energy	15
Water	1
Waste	1
Refrigerants	0
Total Annual Operational Emissions	**229 metric tons/year**
AVAQMD Annual Threshold	*100,000 metric tons/year*
Exceed AVAQMD Annual Threshold?	**No**

Sources: CalEEMod 2022.1. Refer to Attachment A for Model Data Outputs.
Notes: Emission projections are predominantly based on CalEEMod model defaults for the Los Angeles County portion of the MDAB and trip generation rates provide by KOA Corporation (2023).

As shown in Table 3-3, GHG emissions generated due to Project implementation would not exceed AVAQMD significance thresholds.

3.3.3.3 Consistency with the County of Los Angeles 2020 Climate Action Plan

The Los Angeles County 2020 CAP establishes various GHG emissions reduction targets, stating that by 2025, the goal is to reduce GHG emissions by 25 percent below 2015 levels; by 2035, to reduce GHG emissions by 50 percent below 2015 levels; and by 2045, to achieve carbon neutrality in unincorporated Los Angeles County. The 2020 CAP is consistent with 2022 Scoping Plan and sets the County on a path to achieving a more substantial long-term GHG reductions consistent with statewide GHG reduction targets. The 2020 CAP addresses policies and municipal strategies to reduce GHG emissions generated in unincorporated Los Angeles County.

The Project Proposes the redevelopment of the Devil's Punch Bowl Nature Center that was destroyed by the Bobcat Fire in 2020. The Proposed Project is consistent with the Los Angeles General Plan Open-Space (OS) land use designation and is thereby consistent with the GHG inventory and forecasts in the 2020 CAP since both the existing and the projected GHG inventories in the 2020 CAP were derived based on the land use designations and associated densities defined in the County's General Plan. The Proposed Project does not include residential development or large local or regional employment centers, and thus would not result in significant population or employment growth. In addition, the Proposed Project would also be subject to all applicable regulatory requirements to reduce GHG emissions, including the applicable GHG-reducing policy provisions contained in the 2020 CAP. Additionally and as previously discussed, the Proposed Project would not exceed AVAQMD significance thresholds, which were established with the purpose of complying with statewide GHG-reduction efforts. As such, the Project would not conflict with applicable plans, policies, or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions.

4.0 REFERENCES

AVAQMD (Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District). 2016. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) And Federal Conformity Guidelines.

———. 2020. Rules and Regulations. https://avaqmd.ca.gov/rules-plans

CAPCOA (California Air Pollution Control Officers Association). 2022. California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), version 2022.1.

_____. 2013. Health Effects. http://www.capcoa.org/health-effects/.

CARB (California Air Resources Board). 2022a. Air Quality Data Statistics. http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/index.html.

_____. 2022b. State and Federal Area Designation Maps. http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm.

_____. 2022c. California Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory 2022 Edition. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/ghg-inventory-data

County of, Los Angeles. 2022. General Plan. Chapter 8: Air Quality Element. https://planning.lacounty.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/8.0_gp_final-general-plan-ch8.pdf

_____. 2020. Los Angeles County Climate Action Plan. https://planning.lacounty.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/2019-002015_cap-public-review-draft.pdf

IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 2014. Climate Change 2014 Synthesis Report: Approved Summary for Policymakers. http://www.ipcc.ch/.

_____. 2013. Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. http://www.climatechange2013.org/ images/report/WG1AR5_ALL_FINAL.pdf.

ITE (Institute of Transportation Engineers). 2017. Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition.

KOA Corporation. 2023. Devil's Punchbowl VMT Analysis.

USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2016a. Climate Change – Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Carbon Dioxide. http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/co2.html.

_____. 2016b. Methane. https://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/gases/ch4.html.

_____. 2016c. Nitrous Oxide. https://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/gases/n2o.html.

_____. 2002. Health Assessment Document for Diesel Engine Exhaust. https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPURL.cgi?Dockey=300055PV.TXT.

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A – CalEEMod Output File for Air Quality Emissions and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

ATTACHMENT A

CalEEMod Output File for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Devil's punch bowl Detailed Report

Table of Contents

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	Devil's punch bowl
Construction Start Date	1/1/2024
Operational Year	2026
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	4.50
Precipitation (days)	15.2
Location	34.41408974148226, -117.85808245548438
County	Los Angeles-Mojave Desert
City	Unincorporated
Air District	Antelope Valley AQMD
Air Basin	Mojave Desert
TAZ	3602
EDFZ	7
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.14

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description

Library	3.24	1000sqft	0.07	3,240	0.00	—	—	—
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	2.00	Acre	2.00	0.00	30,000	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.32	11.3	12.1	0.02	0.46	0.02	0.48	0.42	0.01	0.43	—	2,237	2,237	0.09	0.02	0.13	2,246
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	4.43	16.0	16.9	0.03	0.74	0.29	0.96	0.68	0.06	0.71	—	2,814	2,814	0.12	0.04	0.02	2,825
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.95	8.20	8.83	0.02	0.34	0.04	0.37	0.31	0.01	0.32	—	1,603	1,603	0.06	0.02	0.07	1,609
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.17	1.50	1.61	< 0.005	0.06	0.01	0.07	0.06	< 0.005	0.06	—	265	265	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	266

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	1.32	11.3	12.1	0.02	0.46	0.02	0.48	0.42	0.01	0.43	—	2,237	2,237	0.09	0.02	0.13	2,246
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	1.70	16.0	16.9	0.03	0.74	0.29	0.96	0.68	0.06	0.71	—	2,814	2,814	0.12	0.04	0.02	2,825
2025	4.43	6.22	9.22	0.01	0.27	0.20	0.47	0.25	0.05	0.30	—	1,437	1,437	0.06	0.02	0.02	1,444
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.95	8.20	8.83	0.02	0.34	0.04	0.37	0.31	0.01	0.32	—	1,603	1,603	0.06	0.02	0.07	1,609
2025	0.12	0.04	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.58	6.58	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	6.61
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.17	1.50	1.61	< 0.005	0.06	0.01	0.07	0.06	< 0.005	0.06	—	265	265	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	266
2025	0.02	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.09	1.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.09

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.86	1.08	11.3	0.02	0.02	2.13	2.15	0.02	0.54	0.56	1.80	2,547	2,549	0.27	0.10	9.71	2,593
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.77	1.17	8.31	0.02	0.02	2.13	2.15	0.02	0.54	0.56	1.80	2,330	2,332	0.27	0.10	0.26	2,369

Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.48	0.68	5.07	0.01	0.01	1.17	1.19	0.01	0.30	0.31	1.80	1,354	1,355	0.23	0.06	2.32	1,380
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.09	0.12	0.92	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.22	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	0.30	224	224	0.04	0.01	0.38	229

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.75	1.04	11.2	0.02	0.02	2.13	2.15	0.02	0.54	0.56	—	2,453	2,453	0.08	0.09	9.69	2,492
Area	0.11	< 0.005	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.58	0.58	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.58
Energy	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	89.7	89.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	90.0
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	3.85	4.04	0.02	< 0.005	—	4.70
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.61	0.00	1.61	0.16	0.00	—	5.63
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	0.86	1.08	11.3	0.02	0.02	2.13	2.15	0.02	0.54	0.56	1.80	2,547	2,549	0.27	0.10	9.71	2,593
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.68	1.13	8.28	0.02	0.02	2.13	2.15	0.02	0.54	0.56	—	2,236	2,236	0.08	0.10	0.25	2,268
Area	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	89.7	89.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	90.0
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	3.85	4.04	0.02	< 0.005	—	4.70
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.61	0.00	1.61	0.16	0.00	—	5.63
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01

Total	0.77	1.17	8.31	0.02	0.02	2.13	2.15	0.02	0.54	0.56	1.80	2,330	2,332	0.27	0.10	0.26	2,369
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.38	0.64	4.97	0.01	0.01	1.17	1.18	0.01	0.30	0.31	—	1,260	1,260	0.05	0.06	2.31	1,280
Area	0.10	< 0.005	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.29	0.29	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.29
Energy	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	89.7	89.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	90.0
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	3.85	4.04	0.02	< 0.005	—	4.70
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.61	0.00	1.61	0.16	0.00	—	5.63
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	0.48	0.68	5.07	0.01	0.01	1.17	1.19	0.01	0.30	0.31	1.80	1,354	1,355	0.23	0.06	2.32	1,380
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.07	0.12	0.91	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.22	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	—	209	209	0.01	0.01	0.38	212
Area	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.05
Energy	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	14.9	14.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.9
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.03	0.64	0.67	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.78
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.27	0.00	0.27	0.03	0.00	—	0.93
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005
Total	0.09	0.12	0.92	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.22	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	0.30	224	224	0.04	0.01	0.38	229

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.61	15.6	16.0	0.02	0.67	—	0.67	0.62	—	0.62	—	2,494	2,494	0.10	0.02	—	2,502
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	0.11	0.11	—	0.02	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.09	0.85	0.88	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	137	137	0.01	< 0.005	—	137
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.16	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	22.6	22.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	22.7
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.08	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.04	0.04	—	164	164	0.01	0.01	0.02	166
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	< 0.005	0.08	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	77.6	77.6	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	81.2
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.23	9.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.36
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.25	4.25	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.45
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.53	1.53	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.55
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.70	0.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.74

3.3. Site Preparation (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.31	12.7	11.4	0.03	0.55	—	0.55	0.51	—	0.51	—	2,716	2,716	0.11	0.02	—	2,725
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.10	0.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	22.3	22.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	22.4
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.70	3.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.71
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.05	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	98.2	98.2	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	99.5
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.83	0.83	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.84
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.14	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.14
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.65	15.9	15.4	0.02	0.74	—	0.74	0.68	—	0.68	—	2,454	2,454	0.10	0.02	—	2,462
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.26	0.25	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	40.3	40.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	40.5
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	6.68	6.68	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.70
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.05	0.07	0.72	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	131	131	0.01	< 0.005	0.02	133
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.22	2.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.25
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.37
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.32	11.2	11.9	0.02	0.46	—	0.46	0.42	—	0.42	—	2,201	2,201	0.09	0.02	—	2,209
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.32	11.2	11.9	0.02	0.46	—	0.46	0.42	—	0.42	—	2,201	2,201	0.09	0.02	—	2,209
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.79	6.77	7.20	0.01	0.28	—	0.28	0.25	—	0.25	—	1,327	1,327	0.05	0.01	—	1,331

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.14	1.24	1.31	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.05	—	220	220	0.01	< 0.005	—	220
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	20.1	20.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	20.4
Vendor	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.2	16.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	17.0
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	17.8	17.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	18.0
Vendor	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.2	16.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	16.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.1	11.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	11.2
Vendor	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.77	9.77	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	10.2
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.83	1.83	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.86
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.62	1.62	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.69
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Paving (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.75	6.44	8.26	0.01	0.31	—	0.31	0.29	—	0.29	—	1,244	1,244	0.05	0.01	—	1,248
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.18	0.23	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	34.1	34.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	34.2
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	5.64	5.64	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.66
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.10	1.08	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	196	196	0.01	0.01	0.02	199
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.54	5.54	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.62
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.92	0.92	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.93
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.70	6.13	8.21	0.01	0.27	—	0.27	0.25	—	0.25	—	1,244	1,244	0.05	0.01	—	1,248
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	2.43	2.43	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.44
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.40	0.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.40
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.09	1.01	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	193	193	0.01	0.01	0.02	195
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.39	0.39	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.39
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.13. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectural Coatings	4.30	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.66	3.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.67
Architectural Coatings	0.12	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.61	0.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.61

Architectu Coatings	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.50	3.50	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	3.54
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.10	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	0.75	1.04	11.2	0.02	0.02	2.13	2.15	0.02	0.54	0.56	—	2,453	2,453	0.08	0.09	9.69	2,492
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.75	1.04	11.2	0.02	0.02	2.13	2.15	0.02	0.54	0.56	—	2,453	2,453	0.08	0.09	9.69	2,492
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	0.68	1.13	8.28	0.02	0.02	2.13	2.15	0.02	0.54	0.56	—	2,236	2,236	0.08	0.10	0.25	2,268
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.68	1.13	8.28	0.02	0.02	2.13	2.15	0.02	0.54	0.56	—	2,236	2,236	0.08	0.10	0.25	2,268
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	0.07	0.12	0.91	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.22	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	—	209	209	0.01	0.01	0.38	212
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.07	0.12	0.91	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.22	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	—	209	209	0.01	0.01	0.38	212

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	45.3	45.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	45.5
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	45.3	45.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	45.5
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	45.3	45.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	45.5
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	45.3	45.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	45.5
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.50	7.50	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.53
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.50	7.50	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.53

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	44.4	44.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	44.6
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	44.4	44.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	44.6

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	44.4	44.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	44.6
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	44.4	44.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	44.6
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	7.36	7.36	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.38
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	7.36	7.36	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.38

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.08	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.02	< 0.005	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.58	0.58	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.58

Total	0.11	< 0.005	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.58	0.58	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.58
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.08	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.05
Total	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.05

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	0.85	1.05	0.02	< 0.005	—	1.69

Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	3.00	3.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.01
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	3.85	4.04	0.02	< 0.005	—	4.70
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	0.85	1.05	0.02	< 0.005	—	1.69
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	3.00	3.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.01
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	3.85	4.04	0.02	< 0.005	—	4.70
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.03	0.14	0.17	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.28
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.50	0.50	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.50
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.03	0.64	0.67	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.78

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.61	0.00	1.61	0.16	0.00	—	5.63
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.61	0.00	1.61	0.16	0.00	—	5.63

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.61	0.00	1.61	0.16	0.00	—	5.63
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.61	0.00	1.61	0.16	0.00	—	5.63
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.27	0.00	0.27	0.03	0.00	—	0.93
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.27	0.00	0.27	0.03	0.00	—	0.93

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Library	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetatio	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2024	1/29/2024	5.00	20.0	—
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/30/2024	2/3/2024	5.00	3.00	—
Grading	Grading	2/4/2024	2/12/2024	5.00	6.00	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	2/13/2024	12/17/2024	5.00	220	—
Paving	Paving	12/18/2024	1/1/2025	5.00	10.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	1/2/2025	1/16/2025	5.00	10.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Site Preparation	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Site Preparation	Scrapers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	423	0.48
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40

Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh	Diesel	Average	2.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	2.00	7.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	10.0	0.56
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	—	—	—	—
Demolition	Worker	12.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	1.15	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT

Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	1.36	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	0.53	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	0.27	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	4,860	1,620	5,227

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (Building Square Footage)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,000	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Library	0.00	0%
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	2.00	0%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Library	40.0	100.0	105	21,117	1,145	2,863	3,006	604,543
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	4,860	1,620	5,227

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	180

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Library	31,080	532	0.0330	0.0040	138,678
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Library	101,376	0.00
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	485,529

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Library	2.98	—
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	—

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Library	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.02	0.60	0.00	1.00
Library	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0

Library	Stand-alone retail refrigerators and freezers	R-134a	1,430	< 0.005	1.00	0.00	1.00
Library	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
—	—

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	29.9	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	7.15	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	51.8	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.
Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.
Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	4	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.
The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.
The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	4	1	1	4
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.
The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.
The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	97.1
AQ-PM	53.1
AQ-DPM	0.45
Drinking Water	94.1
Lead Risk Housing	27.5
Pesticides	0.10
Toxic Releases	50.1
Traffic	0.25
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	69.8
Groundwater	35.0
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	16.6
Impaired Water Bodies	43.8
Solid Waste	0.00

Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	13.6
Cardio-vascular	7.94
Low Birth Weights	—
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	55.3
Housing	—
Linguistic	32.0
Poverty	—
Unemployment	—

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	—
Employed	—
Median HI	—
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	—
High school enrollment	—
Preschool enrollment	—
Transportation	—
Auto Access	—
Active commuting	—
Social	—
2-parent households	—

Voting	—
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	—
Park access	—
Retail density	—
Supermarket access	—
Tree canopy	—
Housing	—
Homeownership	—
Housing habitability	—
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	—
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	—
Uncrowded housing	—
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	—
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	70.1
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	0.0
Cognitively Disabled	1.0
Physically Disabled	2.2
Heart Attack ER Admissions	73.3

Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	0.0
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	34.2
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	97.4
Elderly	51.6
English Speaking	0.0
Foreign-born	0.0
Outdoor Workers	84.3
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	97.5
Traffic Density	0.0
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	0.0
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	0.0

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	—
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	—
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.
b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Dust From Material Movement	no info on material movement
Operations: Vehicle Data	Weekday, Saturday, and Sunday trip rate provided by KOA (2023)